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Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is one of the most common mental illnesses experienced by U.S. military veterans, with prevalence estimates among previously deployed veterans ranging from 12–23% (Dursa, Reinhard, Barth, & Schneiderman, 2014;...
Fulton et al., 2015; Marmar et al., 2015). The U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is tasked with providing mental health services to men and women veterans who develop PTSD as a result of their military service. In fiscal year (FY) 2017, approximately 11% ($n = 650,000$) of the nearly six million veterans enrolled in VA health care carried a diagnosis of PTSD (Harpaz-Rotem & Hoff, 2019). Among those veterans in VA with PTSD diagnoses in FY 2017, nearly 608,000 (93%) received some mental health services (Harpaz-Rotem & Hoff, 2019).

Numerous studies have observed that prevalence rates of PTSD among veterans who are of minority race or ethnicity are significantly higher than that of non-Latinx White (NLW) veterans (Dohrenwend, Turner, Turnse, Lewis-Fernandez, & Yager, 2008; Dursa et al., 2014; Koo, Madden, & Maguen, 2015; Koo, Hebenstreit, Madden, & Maguen, 2016; Smith, Goldstein, & Grant, 2016; Trivedi et al., 2015). Racial and ethnic minority veterans who suffer from PTSD are also more likely to experience a severe course of illness than NLW veterans (Kaczukurkin et al., 2016; Sriapda et al., 2017). Although these disparities in PTSD prevalence and severity are likely multidetermined, factors resulting from direct and indirect effects of military service are partially contributory (Dohrenwend, Turner, Turnse, Lewis-Fernandez, & Yager, 2008; Foynes, Smith, & Shipherd, 2015; Loo, Singh, Scurfield, & Kilauanno, 1998; Loo, Lim, Koff, Morton, & Kiang, 2007; Muralidharan, Austern, Hack, & Vogt, 2016).

This greater illness burden born by some racial and ethnic minority groups of veterans may be compounded by difficulties within the health care system. Minority veterans are more likely to experience barriers to accessing VA mental health services, to not feel welcome in VA facilities, and to have worse health care experiences for those services that are accessed (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, & Medicine, 2018). Among racial and ethnic minority veterans with PTSD, several disparities in mental health services have been observed, including nonclinical variation in the types of mental health treatments received, the treatment settings in which mental health services were delivered, and the likelihood of receiving a minimally adequate trial of treatment (Hebenstreit, Madden, Koo, & Maguen, 2015; Koo et al., 2015; Rosen et al., 2019; Spoont et al., 2015; Spoont, Sayer, Kehle-Forbes, Meis, & Nelson, 2017). For example, Latinx veterans with PTSD are less likely than NLWs to receive any psychotherapy or, if they do, are less likely to receive individual psychotherapy (vs. group therapy), and less likely to receive a guideline recommended evidence-based psychotherapy (Rosen et al., 2019; Spoont, Nelson, van Ryn, & Alegria, 2017; The Management of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Work Group, 2017). African American veterans who receive psychotherapy are also less likely than NLWs to receive individual rather than group therapy, are more likely to prematurely discontinue pharmacotherapy, less likely to receive a minimally adequate trial of mental health treatment, and more likely to require emergency/urgent care services (Hebenstreit et al., 2015; Koo et al., 2015; Spoont et al., 2015; Spoont, Sayer et al., 2017).

Determining if these observed inequities in mental health treatment exacerbate pretreatment differences in PTSD severity and prevalence (i.e., cause an even greater disparity in mental illness burden) is critical if we are to improve the health and well-being of racial and ethnic minority veterans with PTSD. To evaluate whether disparities exist in clinical outcomes across racial and ethnic groups of veterans seen in VA, we conducted a planned secondary analysis of patient-reported outcomes from a prospective cohort study of veterans with PTSD. We evaluated two sets of outcomes. First, we examined symptom change and functioning/quality of life outcomes among those veterans with PTSD who initiated any treatment. If treatments and services provided met the clinical needs of all veterans equally, then we would expect that rates of significant benefit (controlling for baseline illness severity) would be comparable across racial/ethnic groups. That is, if all groups of veterans showed relatively similar improvements, then variations in services likely correspond to differences in patient needs (i.e., they are equitable). However, if treatments and services provided addressed the clinical needs of NLW veterans more often than those of racial or ethnic minority veterans (i.e., services received were not equitable), then we would expect relatively greater rates of improvement among NLW veterans. Such preferential improvement among NLW veterans would further exacerbate pretreatment disparities.

Because factors contributing to racial and ethnic disparities in mental health treatment may occur at any point along the continuum of care—from referrals to assessments and treatment planning to treatment engagement, and so on (Allegria, Alvarez, Ishikawa, DiMarzio, & McPeck, 2016; Kugelmass, 2016; Marx et al., 2017; Merino, Adams, & Hall, 2018; Penner et al., 2013) – we also examined clinical outcomes for the whole system of care beginning at the point of case identification until the end of the sampling period 6-months later independent of whether veterans initiated any treatment. If racial and ethnicity minority veterans were more or less likely to receive treatment (for any reason), then this second analysis would allow us to evaluate variations in outcomes due to differences in outcome access or availability.

**Method**

**Study Overview**

This is a planned secondary analysis of variations in outcomes across racial and ethnic groups using an observational prospective cohort study of veterans who had been diagnosed with PTSD ($n = 7,645$). Sampled were veterans who were diagnosed with PTSD by a primary care or mental health provider in any VA facility during the recruitment period. To evaluate health care outcomes, we wanted to specifically identify those veterans with PTSD who might begin a new episode of mental health care for PTSD (due to new diagnosis or recurrence) or an exacerbation of PTSD. Therefore, we excluded from the surveyed population those who had been diagnosed with any mental health disorder, had any mental health related appointments (except for substance use disorders or SUD); (Kimerling, Trafton, & Nguyen, 2006), or who had received either antidepressants or antipsychotics in the prior year. We did not exclude SUD diagnoses since PTSD may be more difficult to identify in that clinical context. Eligible patients were identified by abstraction of demographic, diagnostic, and VA health care utilization data from the National Patient Care Database (NPCD) and outpatient prescriptions from the Decision Support System (DSS) National Pharmacy Extract database. Data on outpatient encounters are uploaded daily to the NPCD from all VA facilities and made accessible every two weeks; we identified veterans within 1 to 14 days of the appointment in which PTSD was diagnosed. Veterans were sent a baseline
questionnaire soon after they received a PTSD diagnosis and a second, follow-up survey 6 months postdiagnosis. Data were collected between 6/2008 and 3/2010. The study was approved by the local VA Institutional Review Board.

Sample

Methods for sample ascertainment and collection of the survey dataset are described in detail elsewhere (Spoont et al., 2015; Spoont, Sayer et al., 2017). Briefly, a weighted stratified random sample was drawn from all veterans diagnosed with PTSD (International Classification of Disease 9 or ICD9 code 309.81) during an outpatient visit at any VA facility nationwide. Given high rates of mental health comorbidity and chronicity of PTSD among veterans (Marmar et al., 2015; Pietrzak, Goldstein, Southwick, & Grant, 2011; Santiago et al., 2013), patients were not excluded if they had prior mental health diagnoses (including PTSD) before the year prior to the index appointment in which PTSD was diagnosed. In other words, a history of mental health problems or treatments was not an exclusion; however, treatment in the year immediately prior to the index PTSD diagnostic appointment was. This balanced our need to follow veterans prospectively once PTSD was identified as a current problem (operationalized as the diagnosis of record for a given appointment) and still retain ecological validity. Sampling was stratified by race, ethnicity and gender; specific proportions were determined from previous work, with women and racial and ethnic minorities oversampled to allow for group comparisons (Spoont et al., 2015; Spoont, Sayer et al., 2017). Self-reported racial or ethnic identities that were relatively uncommon among survey respondents (i.e., n < 70) and veterans diagnosed with PTSD in clinics other than a mental health or primary care clinic were excluded from the analyses because such small groups would limit our statistical power to detect effects. We also excluded veterans who had moderate to severe cognitive impairments, schizophrenic spectrum disorders, who died during the study sampling period, or who had no available mailing address. Lastly, because our focus was patient-reported outcomes, we restricted our dataset to those subjects who responded to both the postdiagnostic baseline and the 6-month follow-up surveys (n = 5,070; see Figure 1).

![Sampling and response diagram](image-url)

Figure 1. Sampling and response diagram.
Survey Procedure

For both the baseline and 6-month follow-up surveys, we used Dillman’s approach to multiple mailings (Dillman, 1991). First, an introductory letter was mailed, followed 2 days later by a packet containing an informed consent letter, a survey, $10 cash payment, and a postage-paid return envelope. Ten days later, nonrespondents were sent a 2nd letter/survey/envelope packet. Nonrespondents to the 2nd packet were sent a 3rd packet 10 days later via Federal Express. The $10 incentive was included only with the first mailing. Follow-up surveys and a new $10 incentive were sent 6 months after the PTSD diagnostic appointment only to respondents of the baseline survey. The same mailing procedures were followed as for the baseline survey except that no additional introductory letter was sent.

Model Predictors and Data Sources

Predictors of treatment outcomes in the analytic models included demographic information (age, race/ethnicity, gender) and treatment need (baseline measures of PTSD symptom severity and measures of physical and mental health quality of life). Administrative indicators of race/ethnicity, age, and gender were abstracted from VA NCPD and DSS databases. PTSD symptom severity, physical and mental health quality of life were assessed on both the baseline and 6-month follow-up surveys. Administrative race and ethnicity were used for sampling stratification; however, only self-reported race/ethnicity assessed on the baseline survey was used in analytic models.

Treatment Need

We defined treatment need as the baseline survey assessments of symptom severity and health-related quality of life measures. PTSD symptom severity, physical and mental health quality of life were measured in both the baseline and 6-month follow-up surveys. PTSD symptom severity was assessed by the PTSD Checklist-Military version (PCL-M), for which scores can range from 17 to 85 with higher scores indicating greater symptom severity (sample $\alpha = .94$; Blanchard, Jones-Alexander, Buckley, & Forneris, 1996; McDonald & Calhoun, 2010; Weathers, Litz, Herman, Huska, & Keane, 1993). In the baseline survey, veterans were asked to indicate which trauma(s) they referenced when they filled out the PCL-M symptom measure (combat, unwanted sexual experiences, physical attack, natural disaster, serious accident, unexpected or violent death, “other” with write-in option). Health related quality of life was assessed by the Mental Health Quality of Life score (MHQoL) and the Physical Health Quality of Life score (PHQoL) of the veterans Rand 12-item Health Survey (VR-12; Goldberg et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2001). Scores for PHQoL and MHQoL range from 0 to 100, and are standardized to the general veteran population with a mean of 50; higher scores indicate better quality of life (sample $\alpha = .88$ PHQoL, $\alpha = .86$ MHQoL; Jones et al., 2001; Kazis et al., 2006).

Race and Ethnicity

As in previous work (Spoont, 2015; Spoont, Sayer et al., 2017) race/ethnicity was assessed by survey checklist allowing for multiple endorsements: White, African American, Native American, Asian American, Hispanic or Latinx, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander. Most veterans identified only a single race or ethnicity, but a significant portion of Latinx and Native American Veterans also endorsed White. We included these veterans in the Latinx and Native American groups respectively. Although we acknowledge that differences exist among Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders, and Asian Americans, given their small individual sample sizes we chose to combine these veterans into a single group rather than omit them from the analyses. This left us with five racial/ethnic groups for our analyses: NLW, Latinx, African American, Native American, and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander (A/H/PI).

Treatment Initiation

To address our first research question as to whether there are differences in outcomes among those who initiated treatment, we defined treatment initiation as completion of at least one therapy appointment or receipt of one prescription. Specifically, treatment initiation included completion of any individual or group psychotherapy appointments with a mental health clinician, or receipt of any medication prescription in one of the two drug classes recommended by VA/DoD clinical practice guidelines for PTSD [that is, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) and serotonin—norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI)] (The Management of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Work Group, 2017) during the 6-month follow-up period. Psychotherapy appointments were identified using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes and provider identifiers in VA databases uploaded from providers’ encounter forms. Appointment and pharmacy information were from abstracted NCPD and DSS databases respectively. Veterans were considered treatment initiators if they completed at least one psychotherapy appointment or received one prescription during the 6-month follow-up period.

Study Outcomes

Change scores in PCL-M and MHQoL from baseline to the 6-month follow-up surveys served as study outcomes. For both the PCL-M and MHQoL measures, we determined medium effect sizes corresponding to 50% of the baseline sample distribution standard deviations. A medium effect size, or .5 $SD$ units, corresponds to what is considered the Minimally Important Difference (MID) in multiple areas of medicine, including PTSD treatment outcomes (Le et al., 2013; Schnurr & Lunney, 2016; Shiner, Watts, Pomerantz, Young-Xu, & Schnurr, 2011; Stefanovics, Rosenheck, Jones, Huang, & Krystal, 2018). Improvements in scores by .50 $SD$ or larger are considered reliable improvements and worsening of scores by .50 $SD$ or more are considered reliable declines. In our sample, .50 $SD$ units equaled 7.49 points on the PCL-M. We therefore used this medium effect size threshold to define a three-level ordinal outcome measure of change in PCL-M: improvement by at least 7.49 points, no significant change, or worsening by at least 7.49 points. Similarly, we constructed a three-level ordinal outcome measure for change in MHQoL: improvement by at least 5.85 points, no significant change, or worsening by at least 5.85 points. We used these MID criteria to determine what proportion of veterans evidenced reliable improvement or worsening of no change in PTSD symptom severity (PCL-M) and/or MHQoL.
Analyses

We implemented a series of descriptive and multinomial logistic regression analyses using the following structure:

Outcomes

Each outcome had 3 categories (improved, no change, worsened); categories represented change scores in PCL-M or MHQoL from Baseline to 6-month follow-up. For each outcome, we examined medium effect changes of .5 SD units and large effect changes of .7 SD units to define improvement or worsening.

Treatment Need and Demographic Covariates

Baseline PCL-M, VR-12 MHQoL and PHQoL; age, and gender Independent variable. Race/ethnicity (NLW, Latinx, African American, Native American, Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander)

Analyses occurred in several steps. First, we descriptively examined differences across racial and ethnic groups in baseline and follow-up PTSD symptoms and MHQoL and change in these measures. Bonferroni corrections were used for group comparisons to assess significance of results. We then fit multinomial logistic regression models using race/ethnicity and the demographic and treatment need covariates as fixed effects to estimate adjusted rates of improvement, worsening or no significant change for both outcome measures.

To determine if outcome rates differed for minority racial and ethnic groups relative to NLWs, we compared adjusted odds ratios for improvement versus no change and for worsening versus no change between each minority racial/ethnic group and NLWs among those veterans who initiated any treatment in the 6-month follow-up period (n = 2,992) using Wald tests. Then, to determine if our estimates were affected by treatment receipt, we reran all models using a nonrestricted sample that included all Veterans that met our inclusion criteria (i.e., both treatment initiators and noninitiators; n = 5,070).

Sensitivity Analyses

We conducted two sensitivity analyses to examine the robustness of our findings. First, although our definition of MID in PTSD symptoms (i.e., PCL-M score differences) is an accepted indicator of reliable change (Le et al., 2013; Norman et al., 2003; Stefanovics et al., 2018), it falls midrange in estimates of what has been assumed to be “reliable change” used in treatment trials (e.g., see Stefanovics et al., 2018), and below the commonly used PCL-M change metric of 10-points (NCPTSD, 2012). To determine if the pattern of our findings would still be present if we used a more stringent change criterion, we constructed new PCL-M and MHQoL outcome measures using a large effect size threshold (i.e., change of at least .7 SD units/10.58 points on PCL-M) to define significant worsening or improvement, and then reran our models in both the treatment initiator and in the full unrestricted samples. The large effect threshold for the MHQoL score was 8.19 points.

To evaluate potential biases introduced by stratified sampling, incomplete responses to survey items, and variable rates of survey nonresponse, for our second set of sensitivity analyses we used sampling weights, multiple imputation, and survey response propensity score adjustments and reran our analytic models. Markov Chain Monte Carlo multiple imputation was used to address potential bias due to missing PTSD symptom, MHQoL or PHQoL survey items. Ten different imputed values were constructed for each missing item, creating 10 complete imputed data sets. Age, gender, race, and ethnicity were not imputed.

To address potential survey nonresponse bias, a propensity model was developed for responses to baseline and follow-up surveys using all available administrative data as predictors. The sample was then stratified by both the original survey sampling strata and the estimated survey response propensity vector. Within each stratum, the original sample design weights for those subjects responding to both surveys were adjusted by multiplying the weights by the ratio of the number of stratum members to the number of stratum respondents. Observations weighted by these adjusted survey inclusion probabilities were then used in analyses of the outcome measures. These weighted analyses were implemented for each of the imputed data sets and results were aggregated using standard methods for multiple imputation.

Using this approach, we estimated population-based rates of improvement, worsening or no significant change for both outcome measures. We used weighted multinomial logistic regression models to estimate demographic and treatment need adjusted population-based differences in these rates across racial and ethnic groups. As in the observed data models, we then examined the model estimated odds ratios comparing the differences of improvement versus no change and worsening versus no change between each racial and ethnic minority group relative to NHWs for both outcomes. Standard errors and confidence intervals for model estimates were constructed using bootstrapping methods.

In these population based weighted models, some propensity strata included very few veterans from some racial/ethnic subpopulations. These sparse strata greatly increased the estimated standard errors, thereby limiting our statistical power for subgroup comparisons. Consequently, results from these adjusted analyses were used to identify potential response biases and to confirm/refute findings by comparing the direction and magnitude of the point estimates with those derived from the observed data analyses. Of note, the overall population change estimates were not impacted by the statistical limitations in the subpopulation comparisons and so we present them alongside the overall observed rates of change.

Post hoc analyses: To better understand seemingly inconsistent and ambiguous aspects of our results, we conducted additional three-way contingency table analyses to explore relationships between veteran race and ethnicity and the associations (a) between outcome measures, and (b) between outcomes and treatment initiation.

Results

Of the n = 5,070 veterans in the sample who responded to both surveys (see Figure 1), n = 4,338 (86%) were men, n = 732 (14%) were women, and 59% (n = 2,992) had initiated some mental health treatment in the 6-month follow-up period. Mean baseline treatment need variables were in the clinically significant range in PTSD symptomatology and mental and physical health-related
functional impairments. PTSD severity was slightly higher and MHQoL slightly lower among those who initiated some treatment (see Table 1). All racial and ethnic minority veterans except those who were A/H/PI had significantly more severe PTSD symptomatology than NLW veterans at both the baseline assessment ($F = 27.98$, $df = 4, 5,019, p < .001$) and at the 6-month follow-up ($F = 27.08, df = 4, 4,770, p < .001$). Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests for all comparisons except those that included A/H/PI veterans were significant (all $p < .001$). Similarly, NLW’s had better MHQoL than African American and Latinx veterans at baseline ($F = 6.98, df = 4, 5,045, p < .001$) and at 6-month follow-up ($F = 6.19, df = 4, 5,042, p < .001$). Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests for those comparisons $p < .001$.

Rates of reliably significant change (MID criterion) in both the treatment and the total sample in the 6-month period after veterans received a PTSD diagnosis showed that a little more than 1 in 4 veterans evidenced significant improvement in PTSD symptoms and MHQoL (see Table 2). Using a large effect criterion, rates in both samples reduced to about 1 in 5. Population adjusted rates were comparable to observed rates, suggesting that these results were not due to sampling or response biases.

As observed by others (Maguen et al., 2014; Sripada et al., 2017), we found racial and ethnic disparities in clinical outcomes. Among treatment initiators, both Latinx and African American veterans were less likely than NLWs to evidence reliably significant improvement in PTSD symptoms (PCL scores; see Table 3). This disparity was also observed in the total sample for Latinx veterans; for African American veterans, the lower odds of improvement relative to NLWs were no longer significant, but the odds of significant worsening were.

In contrast to outcomes for PTSD symptoms, outcomes for MHQoL were better among Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander and African American veterans relative to NLWs in the sample of treatment initiators (see Table 4). In the total sample, the relatively greater rates of improvement among Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander veterans disappeared but those of African American veterans remained; outcomes among Native American veterans were now significantly greater than those for NLWs.

### Sensitivity Analyses

The differences observed in outcome rates across racial and ethnic subgroups of veterans with PTSD were similar to those observed in PCL and MHQoL scores when a large effect criterion was used (Online Table 1), suggesting that the findings were not due to the threshold used. Population adjusted outcome rates across racial and ethnic subgroups for both PCL and MHQoL outcomes (Online Table 2) were also comparable to those seen in the observed models. Both sensitivity analyses demonstrate that the observed group differences in the primary analyses are unlikely to be due to the stringency of our outcome criteria, sampling stratification, or response biases.

Post hoc analyses: Although the simple correlation between baseline PCL and MHQoL scores was high ($r = -0.70, n = 5,014, p < .001$), the correlation between continuous outcome change scores in those measures was significant but more modest ($r = -0.46, n = 4,727, p < .001$). This suggests that the domains captured by these measures include both overlapping and unique aspects of recovery. Given that changes in PCL and MHQoL scores were moderately correlated, it was surprising that improvement rate differences for African American veterans relative to NLWs appeared to be in the opposite direction for the two outcomes (Tables 3 and 4). To better understand these discrepant findings, we examined associations between race/ethnicity and the two outcome measures using three-way contingency tables. For those veterans’ whose PTSD symptoms worsened or were unchanged, MHQoL score distributions were similar for African Americans and NLWs. However, among veterans whose PTSD symptoms showed large effect size improvements, African Americans were more likely than NLWs to experience concomitant improvements in MHQoL. (African Americans: 64% improvement in MHQoL vs. 28% unchanged; NLWs: 53% improved MHQoL vs. 38% unchanged; comparison of proportions, $p < .05$). Rates among Native American veterans showed a similar pattern of correlated improvements (58% improved vs. 39% unchanged, $p = ns$), but the rate difference fell midway between the two other groups.

To determine if treatment initiation rate differences impacted our results, we used three-way contingency tables to explore associations between outcome measures and treatment initiation rates across racial/ethnic groups. Treatment initiation (vs. noninitiation) was only associated with greater improvement rates in MHQoL among NLWs and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders; the magnitude of this effect was significantly greater for A/H/PI veterans than for NLWs (A/H/PI veterans: 37% treatment initiators improved in MHQoL vs. 23% noninitiators, $\chi^2 = 7.42, df = 2, p < .05$; NLWs: 29% treatment initiators improved vs. 24% noninitiators, $\chi^2 = 8.93, df = 2, p < .02$). The relatively greater improvement rate among A/H/PI veterans relative to NLWs among treat-
DISPARITIES IN PTSD OUTCOMES

Table 2
Observed and Adjusted Rates of Clinically Significant Change in Outcomes 6 Months After Veterans Were Diagnosed With PTSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome rates by analytic sample</th>
<th>Unadjusted observed sample rates b</th>
<th>Adjusted population rates c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved %</td>
<td>No change %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment initiators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL Medium Effect Rates</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL Large Effect Rates</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHQoL Medium Effect Rates</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHQoL Large Effect Rates</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sample</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL Medium Effect Rates a</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL Large Effect Rates</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a PCL Medium Effect Size is 0.5 SD units or ± 7.49 points; Large Effect Size is 0.7 SD units or ± 10.58 points; MHQoL Medium Effect Size is 0.5 SD units or ± 5.85 points; Large Effect Size is 0.7 SD units or ± 8.19 points. b Because of missing items, Treatment Initiator Sample n = 2,817 for PCL outcomes and n = 2,978 for MHQoL outcomes; Total Sample n = 4,739 for PCL outcomes and n = 5,028 for MHQoL outcomes. c Adjusted population rates were derived from models that included baseline PCL-M, VR-12 MHQoL, VR-12 PHQoL, gender, age, and race/ethnicity as covariates, used multiple imputation and propensity scores to address item and survey non-response respectively, and adjusted for stratified sampling via sampling weights. Treatment Initiators n = 2,992; Total Sample n = 5,070.

Discussion

Among veterans who had no mental health care in the prior year, 6-months after being diagnosed with PTSD only 1 in 4 veterans experienced significant improvements in PTSD symptoms and MHQoL. These modest rates of improvement indicate that many veterans continued to suffer from PTSD and its sequelae well after the condition or an acute exacerbation had been identified. Reasons for this limited rate of improvement were not the focus of this paper, but insufficient treatment, treatment with no efficacious interventions, or other provider or system factors might be contributory.

Controlling for initial treatment need (i.e., baseline PTSD symptom severity, MHQoL, and PHQoL), we found that rates of PTSD symptom improvement or worsening (vs. no significant change) 6-months after receipt of a PTSD diagnosis varied depending on veteran race/ethnicity. Both Latinx and African American veterans were less likely than NLWs to show significant improvement, and African Americans were more likely than NLWs to show significant worsening. These findings are consistent with other national reports of relatively worse outcomes among Latinx and/or African American veterans with PTSD (Maguen et al., 2014; Sripada et al., 2017, 2019, 2020). Although these data do not allow us to determine reasons for these outcome disparities, they were observed in both the treatment initiation sample and the total sample (initiators

Table 3
Odds Ratios of Clinically Significant Improvement or Worsening vs. No Change Comparisons of Racial/Ethnic Minority Veteran Groups Relative to Non-Latino Whites in PTSD Symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Treatment Initiators c</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCL MID Change a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve OR [95% CI]</td>
<td>Worsen OR [95% CI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>0.66** [0.52, 0.84]</td>
<td>0.99 [0.74, 1.34]</td>
<td>0.77** [0.64, 0.94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>0.91 [0.65, 1.26]</td>
<td>1.29 [0.87, 1.91]</td>
<td>1.01 [0.79, 1.28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>0.75* [0.59, 0.94]</td>
<td>1.22 [0.92, 1.63]</td>
<td>0.87 [0.72, 1.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.92 [0.61, 1.39]</td>
<td>0.95 [0.55, 1.66]</td>
<td>1.04 [0.76, 1.42]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a MID (Minimally Important Difference) is a Medium effect size change in 0.5 SD units, or 7.49 points. b Non-Latino Whites are the reference group for comparisons of significant improvement or worsening vs. no significant change.

c Models included age, gender, race/ethnicity and baseline PCL, MHQoL and PHQoL scores. Because of missing items, Total Sample n = 4,739, Treatment initiator sample n = 2,817.

*p < .05. ** p < .01.
Table 4

Odds Ratios of Clinically Significant Improvement or Worsening vs. No Change Comparisons of Racial/Ethnic Minority Veteran Groups Relative to Non-Latinx Whites in VR-12 MHQoL Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MHQoL MID Changea</th>
<th>Treatment Initiatorsc</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improve</td>
<td>Worsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>[0.81, 1.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>[0.78, 1.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1.27**</td>
<td>[1.01, 1.60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1.79***</td>
<td>[1.18, 2.72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Latinx Whiteb Reference Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a MID (Minimally Important Difference) is a Medium effect size change in 0.5 SD units. b Non-Latinx Whites are the reference group for comparisons of significant improvement or worsening vs. no significant change. c Models included age, gender, race/ethnicity and baseline PCL, MHQoL and PHQoL scores. Because of missing items, Total Sample n = 5,028. Treatment Initiation Sample n = 2,978 outcomes.

*M p < .05. **p < .01.

and noninitiators). This suggests that differential access to treatment or differences in treatment initiation rates were not major drivers of racial/ethnic differential outcomes. However, because disparities in PTSD outcomes were greater in the subsample that had initiated treatment, engagement with the treatment system may actually widen pretreatment disparities in PTSD severity observed for African American and Latinx veterans by selectively improving outcomes for NLWs. Our post hoc finding that NLWs were the only group to be more likely to improve in PTSD symptoms if they initiated treatment suggests that this may be the case.

Several studies have identified mental health treatment disparities for African American and Latinx veterans relative to NLWs. For example, African American and Latinx veterans with PTSD are more likely than NLWs to receive group rather than individual psychotherapy, and group therapy is less likely to be effective (Imel, Laska, Jakupcak, & Simpson, 2013; Mott, Barrera, Hernandez, Graham, & Teng, 2014; Resick et al., 2017; Sloan, Bovin, & Schnurr, 2012). Latinx veterans are less likely to get psychotherapy or, if they do, are less likely to receive an evidence based psychotherapy (Rosen et al., 2019; Spoopt, Sayer et al., 2017). On the other hand, among veterans who initiate prolonged exposure therapy for PTSD, African Americans are more likely than NLWs to complete the treatment (Maguen et al., 2019). Although such treatment-related disparities may contribute to these findings, it is likely complex and nontreatment factors may also impact treatment outcomes. For example, African American and/or Latinx veterans are more likely to delay treatment initiation (Holder et al., 2020), to experience deployment-related social stressors (Muralidharan et al., 2016), to experience discrimination (Sibrava et al., 2019), and to have low income (Chen et al., 2019) – any of these factors may contribute to worse outcomes. Whether these or other variations in mental health treatment engagement, treatment delivery, or in nonhealth care system factors (e.g., social determinants of health such as income; Duran & Pérez-Stable, 2019) underlie the disparities in the observed outcomes cannot be discerned from these data. Future studies will need to disaggregate the complex interactions between veteran level factors, social determinants, and treatment system factors.

That MHQoL outcomes across racial and ethnic groups partially differed in direction from those of PTSD symptom changes was surprising given the moderately high correlation across outcomes noted here and by others (Schnurr & Lunney, 2016; Shiner et al., 2011). In our post hoc analyses, we found that the greater improvement among African American veterans relative to NLWs was limited to those whose PTSD symptoms showed a significant large effect improvement, suggesting that the lower (i.e., worse) initial MHQoL in those African American veterans was directly associated with the severity of their PTSD. A similar, but attenuated pattern was noted among Native Americans. These findings speak to the deleterious impact PTSD has on the lives of many veterans and the critical importance of providing appropriate and effective treatments. Most importantly, those who experienced improvement in PTSD symptomatology also experienced improved MHQoL.

Asian, Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander veterans had better MHQoL outcomes only in the treatment initiator group, suggesting that improving their mental health treatment access and/or uptake may improve health outcomes even further for many of these veterans. Because of small sample sizes, we were not able to explore in this study whether the benefit from treatment initiation was equally true for Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders. Veterans in these three groups differ from each other in many ways, including rates of PTSD, socioeconomic factors, geography, and beliefs about treatments (Spoopt et al., 2015; Tsai & Kong, 2012; Whealin et al., 2015, 2013) – any of which may drive group differences in treatment receipt and/or clinical outcomes. More granular studies with larger samples are needed to determine if outcomes differ among these subgroups as well.

VHA has undergone a number of transformations since these data were collected (2009–2010), such as a national roll-out of evidence based treatments for PTSD (Eftekhari et al., 2013; Karlin & Cross, 2014) and expansion of the mental health provider workforce (Rosenheck & Fontana, 2007). Veterans engaged in treatment at facilities embracing the evidence based treatment initiative have witnessed more significant average improvements than those found in this study (Sripada et al., 2017), suggesting that increased dissemination or and engagement in more efficacious treatments will likely increase overall improvement rates.

There were several limitations in this study. First, although we had a relatively large sample, it is possible that our models are not generalizable to the population of veterans with PTSD in VA.
Although we attempted to statistically extrapolate to the broader population of veterans, subgroup comparisons were hampered by sparse representation in select strata. Despite this limitation, our findings for the observed and adjusted models are very similar, indicating that they appear to be robust to the effects of response bias. To be included in the study, all participants had to have been diagnosed with PTSD by a primary care or mental health provider. However, we do not know for certain if all veterans in the study actually had PTSD or how many veterans in the sample would have been excluded if PTSD were more rigorously assessed. It is possible that our findings might differ if those who did not meet full PTSD criteria were removed from the sample. Our use of a 6-month follow-up period may have also impacted our findings. Not all veterans had finished, and some may not have even started, treatment by that endpoint. Improvements may still have occurred for such veterans. It is possible that population outcome rates and group differences in those rates might be different if a longer follow-up timeframe had been used. Finally, these data were collected just at the beginning of the national roll-out of evidence based treatments for PTSD within the VA health care system (Eftekhari et al., 2013; Karlin & Cross, 2014). It may be that the racial and ethnic disparities we observed are no longer present given greater availability of more efficacious, manualized evidence-based treatments. However, because less than half of veterans receive one of these evidence based treatments and that some minority groups may be less likely to receive them than NLWs, improved overall outcomes or reduced disparities in those outcomes are far from certain (Cook, Dinnen, Simiola, Thompson, & Schnurr, 2014; Rosen et al., 2019; Steenkamp, Litz, Hoge, & Marmar, 2015). If racial and ethnic minority veterans are less likely to receive an evidence based treatment or are less likely to complete one, the nationwide dissemination may inadvertently further exacerbate racial and ethnic disparities in outcomes by selectively improving those of NLWs to a greater extent.

To better understand drivers of racial and ethnic disparities in outcomes among veterans with PTSD, it will be important to examine specific processes of care as well as potential contributions of social determinants of health on treatment behavior. Detailed knowledge of veterans’ resources, social support networks, mental health service accessibility, treatments offered, treatments received, and quality of care would be needed. More frequent assessments would help to disentangle the impact of specific factors on clinical outcomes.

Given numerous changes across the VA health care system since these data were ascertained, it will also be important to determine if racial and ethnic disparities in mental health treatment outcomes have been exacerbated or ameliorated by these changes and to identify specific current drivers of outcome disparities. These data may be viewed as a baseline against which future system-wide interventions to improve outcomes or diminish disparities can be evaluated.
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