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In this paper the author reviews the most instructive literature in the area of psychological consequences of combat exposure. The selected abstracts and citations serve as a broad, rather than comprehensive, survey of the diverse literature in this area. Unfortunately, not all of the fine work that has been reported can be presented in this brief report. By necessity, more-recent literature has been selected because it pertains specifically to posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and that disorder has become central to our understanding of combat responses. Readers should not conclude from this decision that sequelae from combat exposure always fall neatly within the PTSD framework. The picture is much more complex.

Jones et al. (2002) recently reviewed the records of service members who participated in combat to determine the presence and nature of postcombat syndromes in British soldiers from the Boer War through the Persian Gulf War (PGW). They concluded that postwar syndromes have been universally present in all modern wars, but the nature of those syndromes has varied depending upon theories in medical science, the nature of combat, and sociological factors. Engel (2004) further described how social factors largely define the context in which postwar syndromes are experienced and understood in terms of their recognized phenomenology and also in terms of acknowledged causative factors and accepted therapeutic factors. In a retrospective review of historical military medical records, Jones et al. (2003) argued that PTSD may be more a modern culture-bound expression of trauma response than a timeless and universal human phenomenon. The reader must remain aware of his or her own biases and assumptions.

In combat stress reactions originally derived from concern about their negative impact on military unit strength and functioning. During World War I, evacuation of soldiers suffering from combat stress reactions resulted in such substantial losses of combatants that treatment policy was modified. The principles of “forward psychiatry” were implemented. In practice, this treatment is summarized using the acronym PIE, which stands for proximity (treatment close to the battlefield), immediacy (rapid and readily available intervention), and expectancy (belief that the soldier would return to combat). Soldiers treated in this fashion were not only reported able to return to combat, but they also were presumed to develop fewer long-term psychiatric sequelae. For a comprehensive review of this subject, the reader is referred to the volume, War Psychiatry (Jones et al., 1995).

These principles continue to inform modern military psychiatry practice. PIE is a social-psychiatry model, with greater emphasis placed on supporting the soldier in his combat role than on identifying pathology. Battlefield symptoms are viewed as normal responses to abnormal circumstances, and terms such as “combat exhaustion” are used to better frame the condition as a non-clinical one. To make a soldier “a patient” is considered more likely to contribute to longer-term chronic psychiatric disability. Solomon and Benbenishty (1986) reported some of the strongest evidence of the effectiveness of forward treatment (particularly expectancy) on combat stress casualties in the 1982 Lebanon War. After one year, only 38% of soldiers who were returned to their units developed PTSD, compared to 74% who were evacuated out of theatre. However, this study was uncontrolled and results were likely affected by selection bias. In a thoughtful review of the military practice of “forward psychiatry,” Jones and Wessely (2003) provided a concise history of the principles of PIE and concluded that reported outcomes may overstate PIE’s effectiveness in returning soldiers to combat. As controlled studies in the combat environment are impractical, a thorough scientific examination of the value of PIE remains elusive.

Attention is now turned to the scientific study of clinical syndromes resulting from combat exposure. Interest in postcombat clinical syndromes is relatively recent and resulted largely from the recognition of postwar emotional problems in Vietnam veterans. Before discussing specific studies related to past wars, some general considerations about this literature must be addressed. First and foremost, the results of these studies must be viewed with an appreciation for the individual nature of the particular war that was studied, the intensity and character of the combat experience (e.g., deployment to a combat area versus actual combat participation), and the social context to which veterans returned.

Another significant consideration is whether data were collected prospectively or retrospectively. Studies of World War II and Vietnam were almost...
entirely retrospective in nature, a clear limitation. Often, participants rated their level of combat exposure many years, and sometimes decades, after the actual event. Retroactive accounts of combat exposure may be unreliable. In reexamination of the stability of recall of military exposures in PGW and Bosnia veterans, Wessely et al. (2003) reported the potential impact of instability of recall and the potential for either exaggeration or minimization of exposure impact.

An additional important consideration in reviewing this literature is that most studies used symptom measurement instruments. Established cutoff scores were typically used to determine which elements of the given study population met screening criteria for a disorder. Although these measurements likely are predictive of pathology, they are not equivalent to the individual diagnostic assessment required to establish the presence of a psychiatric condition. As a result, these studies might either under- or over-report PTSD prevalence.

As with all scientific literature, the reader should be mindful of other parameters as well. Research design, timing of measurement in relation to combat exposure, study populations employed (clinical versus non-clinical), and the use of a control group vary between studies. Surveying strategies (self-report via telephone survey or mailed survey versus structured interview) also differ between studies, as do measures. Even in studies using the same instrument, cutoff scores sometimes vary. Sample bias may also be present due to lower response rates in several studies (particularly in control populations) and study group attrition in some longitudinal studies. The literature of specific war studies should be scrutinized with these points in mind.

Few studies of World War II (WW II) and Korean veterans are available. Spiro et al (1994) described findings from the Normative Aging Study of a non-clinical sample of 1210 WW II and Korean War veteran participants. Measurements taken decades after these wars identified the continuing impact of combat exposure on the sustainment of PTSD symptoms in this group of aging veterans. While some variation in symptom level was reported between two measurement instruments used in the study, the prevalence of PTSD in this healthy sample of combat WW II veterans was relatively low, 1.15 percent. Those WW II veterans who had been exposed to moderate or heavy combat were at 13.3-fold greater risk for PTSD symptoms than non-combat-exposed contemporaries.

Numerous retrospective studies have looked at PTSD in the Vietnam veteran population, the most informative by scientists participating in the National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study. In one paper, Schlenger and colleagues from this group (Schlenger et al., 1992) reported the PTSD subset of findings from this large study. A carefully planned and executed methodology differentiated levels of PTSD between men and women and found the highest current prevalence of PTSD in those service-men and women who served in theater (15.2% and 8.5%, respectively) when compared to veterans who did not serve in theater (2.5% and 1.1%) or civilians (1.2% and 0.3%). Higher war-zone stress exposure resulted in increased prevalence of PTSD. The study also highlighted the differences in prevalence rates among different ethnic groups, with higher rates in minority populations.

The Israeli 1982 Lebanon War marked the beginning of routinely conducted prospective and longitudinal studies of combat-exposed service members. Solomon’s (1987) two-year prospective study reported a PTSD prevalence of 56% in those soldiers who had been treated for combat stress reactions during the Lebanon War compared to 17% of a control group of similarly exposed combat soldiers who suffered no reported combat stress reaction. Although both groups demonstrated high levels of PTSD, the study group evidenced significantly higher levels of PTSD, suggesting a link between the presence of combat stress reactions and the future development of PTSD. Solomon’s group contributed a series of important longitudinal studies of PTSD in Lebanon War Israeli service members.

By far, the greatest volume of literature examining the impact of combat on the development of PTSD has been generated from the study of PGW veterans. For a comprehensive review of the impact of this war on veterans, families, and communities, the reader is referred to Ursano and Norwood’s (1996) volume on this topic. This work was often conducted in close proximity to the combat exposure and, in some cases, it tracked these soldiers over time. An excellent systematic review of this literature and metanalysis conducted by Stimpson et al. (2003) again reported increased prevalence of PTSD and other mental disorders in service members who served in theatre compared to controls.

Earlier PGW studies typically reported on smaller populations. Sutker et al. (1993) compared PTSD symptom levels of 215 activated and deployed Army National Guard and reserve service members with 60 activated but non-deployed service members from the same units, dividing the deployed into high- and low-stress subgroups. Measurements were taken within 4 to 10 months of homecoming. Nineteen percent of war-zone-deployed met criteria for PTSD, 36% within the high-stress group and 5% within the low-stress group. Perconte et al. (1993) examined PTSD symptoms in a population of deployed reservists compared to non-deployed controls. More than 15% of the combat-deployed exceeded cutoff criteria for PTSD, compared to 4% of the control group. The authors demonstrated a consistent and clear association between level of stress and PTSD pathology. Southwick et al. (1993, 1995) studied 84 National Guard and reserve members at 1, 6, and 24 months after returning home and found progressive increases in PTSD symptoms, suggesting that clinical cases of PTSD may be delayed in their presentation. Of importance, those cases that screened positive at one month continued to screen positive for PTSD at 2 years.

Several studies have examined the impact of the PGW on very large populations of deployed service members. Stretch et al. (1996) reported on the congressionally mandated study of 1524 deployed active-duty, reserve, and
National Guard personnel versus 2727 non-deployed personnel approximately 18 months to 2 years after the war. They found no significant difference between service groups in the prevalence of PTSD but noted significant differences between those who deployed and those who did not (8.0% vs 1.3% in the active-duty population, and 9.2% vs. 2.1% in the reserve population). Those stressors that were most highly correlated with PTSD were related to combat exposure, as compared to stressors related more generically to deployment.

The Iowa Persian Gulf Study Group (1997) examined over 3000 service members for the presence of depression, PTSD, chronic fatigue, and cognitive dysfunction, as well as other medical and behavioral syndromes 5 years after the war. PTSD prevalence was lower than that reported in other studies, but still remained significant between groups. Approximately 2.0% of active-duty and reserve PG deployed service members, as compared to 0.7% active-duty and 1.1% reserve non-deployed service members screened positive for PTSD. Deployed service members, were also more likely than others to endorse symptoms of two or more other medical or psychiatric conditions, demonstrating a high level of co-morbidity. Follow-up studies demonstrated relations between depression and PTSD (Black et al., 2004) and between PTSD and medical complaints (Barrett et al., 2002).

Wolfe and colleagues (1999) assessed over 2900 active-duty, reserve, and National Guard Army personnel 5 days after return from theatre and again 18 to 24 months later. These results, in conjunction with a third data collection point approximately 4 years later (Orcutt et al. 2004), supported the relationship between war exposure and the development of PTSD with increases in prevalence over time (3% at Time 1 and 8% at Time 2). Supported by modeling analysis, Orcutt and colleagues identified two distinct populations, those who became progressively less symptomatic and those who developed worsening symptoms of PTSD over time. In another interesting analysis of these data, Erickson at al. (2001) highlighted the important bidirectional relationship between depression and PTSD. Depressed service members were at higher risk to develop PTSD over time, and vice versa.

In a unique study, the entire cohort of 1871 Australian military service members deployed to the PG were interviewed using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview more than one decade after the conflict (Ikin et al., 2004). Postwar PTSD rates were 5.4% in the study group compared to 1.4% in the military control group.

Hoge and colleagues (2004) used both pre- and post-deployment measures of psychiatric symptoms in large combat infantry units deployed to the current conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. These authors provided the clearest window into the psychiatric impact of the ongoing combat operations in the Middle East. Using these authors’ strict criteria for meeting threshold for depression, anxiety, or PTSD, prevalence rates of any mental disorder rose from 9.3% pre-deployment to 11.2% post deployment to Afghanistan, and to between 15.6 and 17.1% post-deployment to Iraq. Rates of PTSD rose from 5.0% pre-deployment to 6.2% post-deployment in the Afghanistan group, and to between 12.2% and 12.9% post-deployment in the Iraq group. Another major finding of this study, with broad public health implications, was that of those who screened positive for any mental disorder, only 23% to 40% sought mental health services. Reluctance to seek help was associated with stigmatization and other perceived barriers to care.

Combat exposure studies of all wars identify higher level of exposure as a significant contributor to PTSD development. Certain malignant types of combat-exposure also appear to place service members at particular risk. McCarroll et al. (1995) found higher levels of PTSD symptoms in PGW veterans who had handled human remains compared to those who had not. Significant differences between groups emerged at both Time 1 (3 to 5 months after returning) and Time 2 (1 year later). An Israeli study by Koren and colleagues (2005) emphasized the increased risk of PTSD in injured soldiers (16.7% prevalence at a mean time of 15.5 months postinjury) compared to uninjured but similarly combat-exposed soldiers (2.5%).

In conclusion, despite certain limitations, multiple studies regarding psychological sequelae of war show a clear association between combat exposure and PTSD, with greater levels of exposure leading to greater prevalence. These rates may fluctuate depending upon the nature of combat, changes in combat experiences during the course of a war, and the social context to which veterans return. Multiple questions remain pertaining to risk, comorbidity, and course of illness that require further investigation.
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increased risk at Time 2 only. These findings indicate that, at Time 1 only, whereas reservists and enlisted personnel were at previous combat experience were associated with increased risk for PTSD at both times. Being young, being single and having high levels of combat exposure were at increased risk for PTSD at both times. Being young, being single and having previous combat experience were associated with increased risk at Time 1 only, whereas reservists and enlisted personnel were at increased risk at Time 2 only. These findings indicate that, although low initially, rates of PTSD increased substantially over time.

ADDITIONAL CITATIONS
Annotated by the Editor


The authors investigated PTSD and physical health in 3,862 Gulf War veterans and controls. Veterans screening positive for PTSD reported more health symptoms and lower health-related quality of life than did veterans without PTSD.


Of the veterans studied in the Iowa Gulf War Case Validation study, 32% met DSM-IV criteria for current or lifetime major depressive disorder. Depressed deployed veterans showed higher comorbidity with other disorders, including PTSD (33% v. 10%), than did depressed non-deployed veterans. Lifetime substance use disorders were more frequent in deployed veterans than in non-deployed veterans (70% v. 52%).


Almost 3,000 Gulf War veterans were assessed immediately after their return and again 18-24 months later. A reciprocal relation between PTSD and depression emerged in cross-lag panel models. For re-experiencing and avoidance/numbing, the reciprocal model held. Early arousal affected later depression, but the reverse was not true.


Prevalences of DSM-IV psychological disorders were measured in a sample of Australian Gulf War veterans and a military comparison group. A total of 31% of male Gulf War veterans and 21% of the comparison group met criteria for a DSM-IV disorder first present in the post-Gulf War period. Prevalences remained elevated a decade after deployment. There was a strong dose-response relationship between psychological disorders and number of reported Gulf War-related psychological stressors.


The authors reviewed records for 1,856 randomly selected veterans dating from 1872 to the Gulf War. Three varieties of postcombat disorder (debtility, somatic, neuropsychiatric) were identified and tied to the era of the war. The authors concluded that all modern wars have been associated with a syndrome of unexplained medical symptoms, but explanations were influenced by advances in medical science and cultural forces.


Posttraumatic stress disorder was assessed two years after the 1982 Lebanon War in a large representative sample of Israeli soldiers who had been treated for combat stress reactions. A high rate of PTSD (56%) was observed in those who had had combat stress reactions compared with a military control group (17%).


In a two-year follow-up of 62 National Guard reservists, who had also been interviewed one and six months after their return from the Gulf War, scores on the Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related PTSD had increased over time. Level of combat exposure was predictive of two-year symptom scores although it had not predicted scores at earlier time-points. The authors concluded that it may take time for the consequences of traumatic exposure to become apparent.


The relationship of PTSD symptoms to combat exposure was examined in 1,210 veterans of World War II and the Korean War, who were participants in the Normative Aging Study. The sample prevalence of PTSD by combat exposure ranged from 0% to 12.4%, differing by the PTSD measure. WWII veterans exposed to moderate or heavy combat had 13.3 times greater risk of PTSD symptoms measured 45 years later, compared with noncombat veterans. It is suggested that military service in general, and combat exposure in particular, is a “hidden variable” in the study of aging men.
PILOTS UPDATE

Our colleagues in the Psychotrauma Documentation Network share our interest in the psychological and psychiatric consequences of traumatic events, but their concern often lies with a particular sort of trauma. Among those organizations working with survivors of traumatic events are several European groups active in human rights and refugee services. As they develop bibliographic databases, they are confronting the need to develop indexing vocabularies.

Last year the Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (RCT) announced plans to construct a Thesaurus of Torture Terminology. According to RCT’s Documentation Centre, “The T3 project aims to create a structured vocabulary sufficiently extensive and detailed to facilitate indexing and retrieval of documents on torture, at a level suited to specialists in the fields of torture prevention and rehabilitation of victims.” In December 2004, an International Working Group (IWG) was convened at RCT headquarters in Copenhagen to establish the principles on which T3 is to be constructed, to determine the procedures to be used in constructing the thesaurus, and to set a timeline for measuring progress. In order to take advantage of the experience gained by the National Center for PTSD in developing the PILOTS Thesaurus, Fred Lerner, Information Scientist at the National Center, was asked to serve as special consultant to the project.

In addition to purely technical matters, the IWG had to address some issues reflecting the political sensitivity of the subject matter. Users of the thesaurus must be explicitly told that it sets forth terminology for indexing literature about torture, rather than terminology to be used in documenting acts of torture for medical or forensic purposes. Although input is to be solicited from a wide range of individuals and organizations, RCT will assert ownership of the intellectual property rights in the completed thesaurus. This will allow RCT to prevent the dissemination of distorted versions of the thesaurus and the manipulation of its content in ways contrary to RCT’s mission of opposing torture and human rights violations.

Those principles being decided, it remained to work out the process by which the thesaurus would be constructed, tested, and disseminated. The discussion was informed by the National Center’s experience in thesaurus development, and the IWG decided to employ the approach that we used in producing the PILOTS Thesaurus.

Fifteen years ago, we derived the structure and terminology of the PILOTS Thesaurus from an examination of a representative sample of psychotrauma literature. Terms occurring in titles, abstracts, and reference lists were selected for their apparent relevance to the subject-matter of the thesaurus, and a list of those terms was compiled. By examination of this list, patterns of terms were perceived and broad categories outlined. Each of these categories then served as the basis for a hierarchical structure of subordinate terms to be used as descriptors for indexing individual documents.

Initial testing suggested that this methodology could successfully be applied to the torture literature, so the next step was to begin the process of developing a hierarchy of indexing terms. In the initial stage of the project, determining the relationships among the concepts is more important than deciding upon the exact terms to be included in the final thesaurus. As work progresses, specialists in various aspects of torture prevention and treatment will be asked for advice on detailed terminology to be applied to their areas of expertise. It is hoped that a first draft of the thesaurus will be ready for circulation and open for comments by October 2005. The goal of the T3 project is to improve access to the torture literature, so that those working to prevent torture and to alleviate its effects can incorporate the insights and experiences of colleagues worldwide into their own work. We at the National Center for PTSD are pleased that our own experience in bibliographic work is being applied to this task.

(Anyone interested in learning more about the Thesaurus of Torture Terminology may contact the T3 Project Coordinator, Sven-Erik Baun, at seb@rct.dk)