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This article highlights the emotional problems of Holocaust survivors from a historical point of view. We focus on the experiences we have had with the survivors in the United States, our discoveries and recognition of the aftereffects of their traumatization, and, briefly, on harmonizing these observations with other contemporary studies of trauma.

Liberated survivors, finding themselves alone and feeling driven to reestablish families rapidly, sometimes made inappropriate choices. They tried to emigrate, reestablish some kind of security, and cover up or deny all their difficulties. When survivors finally found themselves in charity clinics connected with resettlement services and were informed that they could apply for restitution for damages to their health, they complained of physical symptoms and did not even think of mentioning emotional symptoms. Occasionally survivors mentioned that they had been beaten over the head and now suffered from headaches. It fell to some psychiatrists, particularly a few psychoanalysts, to recognize and describe what Niederland (1961) termed the "problem of the survivor." He told of a group of psychoanalytically oriented psychiatrists and psychoanalysts who were reviewing and appealing "case after case" of claims that were rejected by the German restitution authorities. Among the therapists mentioned by Niederland were Bychowsky, Issler, Hammerschlag, and Schur. This paper is typical of early Holocaust publications containing descriptions of patients' complaints and persecution histories and explanations of the psychodynamics of the damaging experiences. A parallel process was going on in Germany, where a group of leading psychiatrists had been struggling to change the prevailing organic-descriptive orientation. By their own reports, and by reviewing for the restitution authorities the case evaluations sent in from outside Germany, they were implementing changes in attitude and procedure.

It took a few years for survivors to settle down and reestablish a (family) life pattern before they could renounce the denial and numbness. The emerging descriptions of survivors' problems helped both to shape awareness of the post-traumatic pattern and to form a prototype of what came to be recognized as PTSD in DSM-III. They also helped prepare us for understanding Vietnam veterans and other populations of trauma survivors (Cohen, 1985; Hoppe, 1971). Lifton's (1963) work on Hiroshima survivors was useful as well; in fact, he participated in workshops on the Holocaust that were held at Wayne State University (Lifton, 1968). As a result of this and other parallel efforts to understand the problems of Holocaust survivors, symptoms could be clustered into chronic anxiety and startle reactions (or hypervigilance), and dysphoric reactions in which depression was predominant.

We also began to discern problems of survivor guilt and shame, a gradually increasing freedom to vent anger, and results of the destruction of basic trust (Chodoff, 1980; Krystal & Niederland, 1968; Niederland, 1968).

Some authors also pointed to disturbances of memory: amnesias, hyperamnesias, and disturbances of consciousness, which in retrospect we later recognized as trances (Jaffe, 1968; Niederland, 1968). In addition, they were hearing about nightmares (but, for complex reasons, not flashbacks), sleep disturbance, and the connection of nighttime symptomatology with bad (depressed, anxiety-filled) days that followed. We found that depressive reactions were related to multiply-determined masochistic life-patterns. Study proceeded to problems of treatment, the dynamics of specific experiences in the context of traumatic situations (with acknowledgment of pretrauma history), the psychic reality of the experience, and the vicissitudes of the survivor's life after liberation (Hoppe, 1968; Tanay, 1968).

However, at the same time we still struggled with models of traumatic neurosis left over from World War I and general psychoanalytic concepts that had not been changed since Freud's formulations. We had to reconsider the nature of trauma, of the "stimulus barrier," even of affect. Much of the psychoanalytic conception of affect was still dominated by the economic point of view of psychoanalysis, and gradually a literature had developed in which affects were recognized as the organism's system of signals. By changing this view, we could identify the genetic history of affects and find the developmental paths of affect differentiation, verbalization, and desomatization. We could then see that unlike the infantile form of affects, which was mostly a somatic reaction, the adult form had cognitive, physiological (customarily called expressive), hedonic, and activating components. The hedonic component had
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to be reviewed. The problem of anhedonia, and the discovery of a complex anatomical and physiological system of pleasure regulation, forced us to realize that pleasure is not synonymous with gratification, nor pain with suffering. We thus gained a new model for pain addiction by realizing that pain can be accompanied by unconscious gratification. This new view of consciousness led us to believe that the idea that mental content was conscious or not conscious was too simplistic and that there were several spectra along which consciousness varied. The same step made it possible to recognize the Holocaust survivor’s emotional responses and behavior in analytic treatment as alexithymia. Alexithymia involves a regression in affects, so that they are not useful as signals to oneself in information processing and one tends to resort to operative thinking. Such patients cannot associate or use dreams in therapy and cannot name or locate their emotions. Consequently, they also have a greater predisposition to psychosomatic illnesses and addictions. They are unable to soothe or regulate themselves, as they feel this function is reserved for their primary love object. Their affect tolerance is impaired because they experience affects as signals of the return of trauma and are not able to keep emotion within a tolerable range of intensity, or, recognizing their own feelings, use them in information processing. The kind of transference they form is an idolatrous one, with much preverbal content and regressed development of the transitional process (Krystal, 1988).

As a result, most severely posttraumatic patients do better in group therapy than in individual therapy. Danieli (1989) helped pioneer the integration of group, family, and community therapy into the comprehensive treatment of Holocaust survivors. Reviews and bibliographies on the intergenerational transmission and treatment of the psychological effects of the Holocaust on survivors’ offspring (children born after the war) can be found in Sigal and Weinfield (1989) and Steinberg (1989). Danieli’s (1988) descriptions of the impact of the postwar conspiracy of silence between survivors, their children, and society, including mental health professionals, and of the heterogeneity of adaptation and quality of adjustment of families of survivors, caution against the simple grouping of individuals as “survivors” who are expected to exhibit the same “survivor syndrome.” Danieli (1985) provides detailed descriptions of at least four differing adaptational styles of survivors’ families—Victim families, Fighter families, Numb families, and families of “Those who made it.” There has been an important self-psychological view of the Holocaust and recovery, represented in the work of Ornstein (1981) and Laub and Auerhahn (1989). There also have been observations on the aging process, which can be difficult for survivors (Krystal, 1991, 1993; Ornstein, 1981). Krystal’s (1991, 1993) conception of posttraumatic alexithymia predicts increased depressive and psychosomatic symptoms as survivors age because they cannot grieve effectively. The careful sociological research of Harel et al. (1993) indicates that contemporary factors such as the availability of and capacity for social engagement, as well as the ability to confide and stay involved, are important factors in determining the nature of the aging process. Results such as these suggest why alexithymia is a devastating problem, namely because it produces emotional isolation by impairing self-insight and the capacity for using affects to empathize with others.
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Drs. Krystal and Danieli provide an insider’s view of the events that led to the clinical literature’s recognition and description of the “survivor syndrome.” They also describe the struggle to develop a suitable intellectual and clinical framework for discussing the aftereffects of this trauma. This review shows that early descriptions of the “survivor syndrome” arose as clinicians began to realize that classical psychoanalytic views of depression, mourning, and responses to trauma did not provide an adequate framework for understanding and treating Holocaust survivors.

The development of the Holocaust literature provides an interesting paradigm for understanding how ideas about trauma exposure and its aftermath evolved over time, and specifically, how these ideas became incorporated into the intellectual framework that gave rise to the diagnosis of PTSD. It also is interesting to track the parallel development of the Holocaust literature with the non-Holocaust PTSD literature, and to note that these two bodies of work are by no means synonymous.

There are several noteworthy observations to be made. First, the literature reacts to descriptions of the profound impairment resulting from extreme human sadism and trauma by providing counterbalancing descriptions that may mitigate the role of the stressor in favor of other factors that actually serve to exacerbate a stressor’s impact. Second, there appears to be a reluctance to “cross-foster”
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information about the aftereffects of the Holocaust with the aftereffects of other traumatic events. Third, despite attempts to describe the unique characteristics and sequela of particular traumas, parallels between these and adaptations to other traumas do emerge, and do, in the end, serve to validate the constructs that led to the development of PTSD. We expand on these points below.

One of the most striking features of the Holocaust literature is that it contains a rather polarized spectrum of opinions regarding the long-term effects of the Holocaust on survivors. Only a few authors have attempted to describe heterogeneity among survivors, most notably Danieli (1980) in her account of differing types of survivor families. Nonetheless, the literature as a whole presents diverse opinions that have not arisen simultaneously. The Holocaust literature began with classic observations describing severe symptomatology, maladjustment, and impairment of functioning in treatment-seeking individuals, many of whom were being evaluated for compensation (e.g., Chodoff, 1963; Eitinger, 1961; Krystal, 1968). In contrast to these findings, a literature arose describing exceptional coping skills among survivors and focusing on predictors of subsequent well-being, particularly in non-clinical populations (Dimsdale, 1974; Harel et al., 1988; Leon et al., 1981). These studies focused on the remarkable adaptive and integrative capacities of Holocaust survivors, who demonstrated good social and family functioning, high socioeconomic achievement, good coping skills, and other personal achievement. Interestingly, the describers of coping and resilience chose to call into question the earlier observations of impairment (Harel et al., 1988) on methodological and other grounds rather than resolve the diversity of opinions by acknowledging the broad spectrum of responsibility to trauma (see Danieli, 1994).

Perhaps in partial response to the psychosocial literature, observations of severe impairment in Holocaust survivors have now been noted in nontreatment-seeking Holocaust survivors (Eaton et al., 1982; Nadler & Ben-Shushan, 1989; Rosen et al., 1991). However, even in this literature, there really has been no systematic or scientific attempt to account for the wide diversity of opinions about the aftereffects of the Holocaust. That is, what appears to be conspicuously absent from the Holocaust literature are references to PTSD, especially in articles that have been published after 1980. The heterogeneity that is reflected in the Holocaust literature is compatible with (and may have contributed to the development of) the now well-established idea that the long-lasting effects of trauma, as reflected by the presence of PTSD, appear in some, but not all, severely traumatized individuals. Only a few studies to date (Kaminer & Lavie, 1991; Kuch & Cox, 1992; Yehuda et al., 1994) have applied the formal diagnostic criteria for PTSD to Holocaust survivors. However, if Holocaust survivors had been considered from the vantage point of either having or not having post-traumatic stress syndrome, this might have helped clarify prior observations of other aspects of post-traumatic adaptation, such as affect dysregulation, character changes, psychiatric comorbidity, and resilience, and might have provided a more cohesive literature.

Why has the Holocaust literature tended to bypass arguments about heterogeneity that might have resulted in an integration of some of these ideas? There is no certain answer to this question; however, McFarlane has proposed (personal communication) that the lack of integration between the Holocaust and PTSD literatures might be due to the unique nature of the Holocaust itself. In particular they have suggested that the lack of integration may have arisen because the Holocaust literature not only documents the effects of extraordinary adversity on individuals but also can be seen as a testament to racial persecution. The literature is therefore in part a record of the cultural experience of the Jews, a record which, whether intentional or not, can be influenced by a series of social and political forces. On the one hand, there is a need to document the horrors of racial prejudice, and on the other, to demonstrate the dignity of the Jewish people and its capacity to survive. To describe Holocaust survivors as vulnerable, particularly if this has biological dimensions, is to document traits similar to the ones that were actually used to justify the extermination of the Jews. To mitigate the scars of the Holocaust is equally problematic. These complex forces may have added to the difficulty of clinicians and researchers to embrace emerging concepts about psychiatric illness, particularly PTSD, to describe the experience of Holocaust survivors.

This, of course, leads to another point about the relative compartmentalization of the Holocaust literature. It is inarguable that the Holocaust was a trauma of absolute catastrophic magnitude. As a result, describers of the Holocaust and its aftermath may have been reluctant to compare this event to other traumas. For writers who are not themselves survivors, it may have been equally difficult to assert that the Holocaust was comparable to other traumatic events, for fear of minimizing the suffering of those who survived the Holocaust, and risking that survivors would feel diminished and misunderstood. In this regard, it is significant that the early describers of Holocaust survivors did not attempt to build on earlier observations of war neurosis or combat fatigue. True, these early observations were obviously known and sometimes even referenced by the describers of the Holocaust. However, the references to writings describing “combat fatigue,” “traumatic neurosis,” or “shell shock” were usually in the context of explaining how these descriptions were, at best, incomplete analyses of the aftermath of the Holocaust. For example, Krystal (1968) concluded that existing nosological categories were not appropriate for characterizing concentration-camp survivors and that the impact of the Holocaust is far more diverse and multivariated than has been contained in previous descriptions.

Ironically, however, despite the reluctance of the Holocaust literature to build on observations that may have predated the Holocaust, this literature has been an important influence on post-Holocaust observations. The paradox here is that although describers of the Holocaust may not have seen the relevance of observations about WWI or
WWII combat veterans, they did see how describing the aftermath of the Holocaust would be relevant to describing the aftermath of traumas of lesser magnitude than the Holocaust. For example, Krystal and Niederland (1968) assert, “We have reason to believe that our observations apply to victims of natural disaster. In the end, we hope that the knowledge gathered will be useful in the treatment and prevention of massive traumatization in general” (p. 348). In this context we can probably also credit the Holocaust literature for allowing researchers and clinicians to better understand the distinctness of stress and trauma.

It has largely been left to clinicians who are not Holocaust survivors to dissect out the generic relevance of the Holocaust-related observations. In response to Eitinger’s (1985) seminal ideas of the possible biological basis of the concentration-camp syndrome, Kolb (1985) implored clinical researchers to consider the biological aspects of concentration-camp syndrome in the context of the older term of “physioneurosis.” Kolb also makes a plea for cross-fostering of the Holocaust literature with other observations of trauma, saying “[W]e should compare the psychopathology of the stress disorders...derivative from a variety of catastrophic experiences of varying intensity” (p. 121). This type of forward thinking has led to the establishment of a diagnosis that bypasses the particular type of trauma sustained in favor of emphasizing the general nature of post-traumatic adaptations.

Despite the fact that literatures about the effects of particular traumas occurred in parallel ways, there are commonalities in the way these literatures have evolved that have paved the way for an integrative approach to the study of the trauma. For example, as Krystal and Danieli note, initial observations of the survivor syndrome focused on describing the clinical symptoms of severely affected treatment-seeking patients who often found themselves in charity clinics and who were usually evaluated by mental health professionals in the context of evaluation for restitution. This is reminiscent of the initial observations of WWI and WWII veterans, and later of Vietnam combat veterans, that were also made in the context of evaluating VA patients who are severely disabled, unlikely to seek help in the private sector, and looking for compensation.

Our current work with Holocaust survivors is really aimed towards viewing the survivor with a lens similar to that which we have used in studying war veterans. Specifically, we hope to utilize the diagnosis of PTSD to subgroup Holocaust survivors. Although it might be argued that they are substantially different from Vietnam combat veterans in several regards (e.g., length of time since the focal trauma, nature and severity of the trauma, occupational functioning of survivors, incidence of substance abuse, etc.) we believe that it is essential to study Holocaust survivors with the same paradigms — both descriptive and biological — that have been used to study veterans in an attempt to further explore both the similarities and differences between these two groups. To the extent that there are commonalities in behavioral and neuroendocrine parameters between Holocaust survivors and other groups of trauma survivors, these variables explain core features of the post-traumatic syndrome. Also, to the extent that there are differences between groups of trauma survivors that are based on the nature of the trauma in such parameters, the findings may be less applicable to features of the general response to trauma. This type of approach allows an operational scientific perspective with relatively unbiased observation, and is hypothesis-driven.
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