SEX DIFFERENCES IN RISK OF PTSD

David F. Tolin, PhD
The Institute of Living
University of Connecticut

Naomi Breslau, PhD
Michigan State University

The aim of this review is to discuss sex differences in rates of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). This topic was the subject of a recent meta-analysis by Tolin and Foa (2006) that reviewed 25 years of research. Overall, research suggests that women are approximately twice as likely as men to meet criteria for PTSD (e.g., Breslau et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 1995), and are over four times as likely to have chronic PTSD (Breslau & Davis, 1992). In this review, we will discuss several potential explanations for this discrepancy, including sex differences in overall or specific traumas, methodological issues, and the possibility of sex-specific expressions of emotional distress.

Risk of Traumatic Events

One factor considered by Tolin and Foa (2006) is that women might be more likely to be traumatized than are men, and thus the elevated risk of PTSD in women might be due to an overall higher risk of trauma. However, this does not appear to be the case. In fact, epidemiological studies (e.g., Breslau et al., 1998; Norris et al., 2003) show the opposite: when “trauma” is considered as a unitary category, women are approximately one-third less likely to report experiencing a trauma than are men. Therefore, the higher rate of PTSD among women cannot be explained by a greater overall risk of trauma.

Perhaps the critical issue is not whether women are more likely to experience trauma than are men, but rather whether women are more likely to experience certain types of trauma, particularly sexual abuse and assault that are associated with a high probability of PTSD. Across studies, women appear more likely than men to report these kinds of traumas (Boney-McCoy & Finkelnburg, 1996; Breslau, Chilcoat, Kessler, Peterson, & Lucia, 1999; Norris, 1992; Perkonigg et al., 2000). Men, on the other hand, are more likely to report experiencing a range of other traumas, including non-sexual assault, serious accidents, combat, and witnessing death or injury (Breslau, Chilcoat, Kessler, Peterson, & Lucia, 1999; Kessler et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2003; Perkonigg et al., 2000; Tolin & Foa, 2006). The overall higher rate of trauma in men, therefore, appears to be due to a higher risk of non-sexual traumatic events, whereas women are more likely to report sexual traumas.

Differences in PTSD Prevalence Controlling for Event Type

Could the twofold risk of PTSD among women be due to the higher risk of sexual traumas? Across studies, among victims of adult sexual assault, a small number of studies revealed no significant difference in rates of PTSD between men and women; however, among both adults and children who reported child sexual abuse, women and girls remained approximately twice as likely to meet criteria for PTSD as were men and boys. However, sex comparisons of PTSD across traumatic events that are more commonly endorsed by men (non-sexual assault, accidents, combat, disaster or fire, witnessing death or injury) also show that women who reported these traumas were more likely to meet criteria for PTSD than were men who reported the same types of traumas (Tolin & Foa, 2006). These results suggest that the higher prevalence of PTSD among women cannot be attributed to a higher risk of sexual traumas. Rather, the higher risk of PTSD for female participants seems to cut across trauma categories.

Other Issues to Consider

If the greater risk of PTSD among women cannot be explained by the higher risk of sexual traumas, what else might explain it? One possibility is how a “trauma” is defined. With the release of DSM-IV in 1994, the PTSD diagnosis requires that the index trauma meet two criteria: (A1) the event involves real or perceived threat of death or serious injury, or threat to the physical integrity of self or others; and (A2) the person’s response includes intense fear, helplessness, or horror. Some might argue that the subjective element of defining an event as “traumatic” partly on the basis of the individual’s cognitive and emotional response during and after the event can be misleading, obscuring sex differences in exposure and in the conditional probability of PTSD (Breslau & Kessler, 2001). Alternatively, it could be argued that one’s peritraumatic cognitive, emotional, and behavioral reactions might in fact be a critical determinant of the subsequent development of fearful reactions (Clark & Ehlers, 2004), and therefore helps explain why most individuals who experience such events recover, and only a minority go on to develop PTSD. Three epidemiological studies (Breslau & Kessler, 2001; Norris et al., 2003; Perkonigg et al., 2000) in...
which the prevalence of trauma with and without Criterion A2 (extreme fear) was examined within the same samples found that the requirement of a reported fear response decreased male participants’ reported trauma frequency, thus reducing the obtained sex difference. However, when Tolin and Foa (2006) looked across all studies, the addition of DSM-IV Criteria A1 and A2 did not appear to diminish male participants’ reports of trauma. Thus, the impact of Criteria A1 and A2 on sex-specific reports of trauma remains unclear.

An important limitation of most studies of sex differences in PTSD is that they assess participants only after the traumatic event has occurred, without taking into account differences in base rates of psychological distress (e.g., depressive and anxiety disorders). It is well established that even at a young age, girls display greater levels of negative affectivity than do boys (McCrae et al., 2002), and by adolescence and adulthood, anxiety and depression are approximately twice as prevalent among girls and women (Kessler et al., 1994). Thus, it might be argued that had they not been traumatized, the participants would still have exhibited sex differences in psychiatric symptoms and disorders. The use of nontraumatized control samples would help address this issue. An example of this design comes from Boney-McCoy and Finkelorh (1996), who assessed PTSD symptoms among male and female assault survivors, as well as among non-assaulted male and female participants. Results of that study suggested a greater risk of PTSD for women following nonsexual assault, but a somewhat greater risk of PTSD for men following sexual assault. In epidemiological research, reported pre-trauma history of anxiety and depressive disorders was associated with an increased likelihood of PTSD following an index event, although sex differences in PTSD remained even after controlling for pre-trauma psychiatric status (Breslau et al., 1997).

Another concern is that the labels commonly used to describe traumatic events might mean different things when applied to men and women. What does it mean, for example, to indicate that a participant has experienced a “nonsexual assault,” “child sexual abuse,” or “combat?” Female nonsexual assault victims are more likely than male participants to be assaulted by a family member or intimate partner (Singer et al., 1995), and sustain more serious injury as a result of such violence (Cascardi et al., 1992). Among participants reporting child sexual abuse, male participants are more likely than are female participants to report the use of physical force or threats during the abuse (Finkelhor et al., 1990), but female participants are more likely to report multiple incidents of abuse, and to have been abused by a close family member (Fischer, 1992). Male victims of adult sexual assault may be more likely than their female counterparts to have been assaulted by multiple perpetrators, to have been attacked multiple times, and to have been physically beaten during the sexual assault (Kauffman et al., 1980). Male combat veterans have greater exposure to violent events than do female combat veterans, even in more recent conflicts (Litz et al., 1997). The list goes on. Thus, it is clear that using monolithic descriptors of traumatic events risks obscuring important sex-specific differences in victims’ experiences which could influence the risk of developing PTSD.

Craske (2003) has written at length about possible biological, psychological, and social reasons for the increased risk of anxiety disorders (including PTSD) among women; Kimerling et al. (2002) have similarly investigated possible contributors to sex differences in PTSD. One possible explanation is that men are more likely to respond to trauma with emotional and behavioral symptoms that do not match the diagnostic criteria for PTSD. In the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (Kessler et al., 2005), compared to female participants, male participants were less likely to report “internalizing” disorders (e.g., anxiety, depression), and were more likely to report “externalizing” disorders (e.g., conduct disorders, substance use disorders). Investigations of post-trauma symptoms follow a similar pattern, with male participants reporting higher rates of substance use and aggressive behavior (Darves-Bornoz et al., 1998; Green et al., 1997). It is possible that gender-role expectations are more supportive or tolerant of certain symptoms in women vs. men. Belligerent or aggressive behavior might be considered more acceptable responses to trauma for men and boys, whereas anxious or depressed behavior might be considered more acceptable for women and girls. The experience of a trauma may exacerbate pre-existing socially-influenced gender differences in response to distress, resulting in different posttraumatic symptom patterns.

Men and women might respond to trauma differently in terms of their immediate peritraumatic and posttraumatic cognitions and behaviors. Compared to male trauma survivors, female trauma survivors endorsed more self-blame for the event, greater belief that they were incompetent or damaged, and greater belief that the world is dangerous (Tolin & Foa, 2002). Women may be more likely than their male counterparts to report using coping strategies such as wishful thinking, mental disengagement, and suppression of trauma memories, strategies that correlate positively with severity of PTSD symptoms (Clohessy & Ehlers, 1999).

Sex may also be confounded in some cases with other contextual risk factors for the development of PTSD. Across studies, low socioeconomic status and history of childhood adversity are associated with a moderately higher risk of PTSD following a traumatic event (Brewin et al., 2000), although the magnitude of effect is probably not sufficient to explain all of the observed sex differences in PTSD.

Finally, the cumulative effects of repeated traumatization must be taken into account. It might be argued that women are more likely than men to experience multiple traumas across the lifespan, which may increase their risk of developing PTSD (Follette et al., 1996). The presence of prior traumas, particularly assaultive violence, appears to increase the risk of PTSD following an index event (Breslau, Chilcoat, Kessler, & Davis, 1999). Similarly, stressful or uncontrollable life events subsequent to the index event also appear to increase the risk of chronicity (Brewin et al., 2000). However, the increased risk of PTSD among women does not change when controlling for prior traumatic events or history of preexisting depression or anxiety (Breslau et al., 1997).
Conclusions
Although it is clear that women are much more likely than men to meet criteria for PTSD, the reasons for this difference are far from clear. It does not appear to be due to a higher risk of trauma, and although women are more likely than men to experience sexual traumas, this alone does not appear to account for the difference. More research is needed before strong conclusions can be reached regarding how sex acts as a vulnerability or resilience factor. Methodological improvements, such as defining traumatic events more clearly and taking into account base rates of psychopathology and pre-index trauma history, would likely facilitate greater understanding. Furthermore, it would be helpful for future researchers to examine in greater detail the role of sex and gender in the likelihood and effect of cumulative traumas, cognitive and behavioral response to traumatic events, and the possible differential expression of posttraumatic distress, whether or not such expression fits the diagnostic criteria for PTSD.
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Questionnaires were administered to 3,735 high school students (52% female) in six public high schools. Boys from large-city schools, overall, had the greatest levels of exposure to violence, including both direct victimization and witnessing. Girls had greater exposure to violence in the home and to sexual abuse/assault and showed greater levels of trauma symptoms.
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CTU-Online provides summaries of pertinent articles and links to the fulltext PDF or abstract, along with the ID number used in the PILOTS Database. Articles authored by National Center for PTSD staff are available in full text.

To sign up for this valuable resource and to view a sample newsletter, simply go to www.ncptsd.va.gov and follow the links.

NEW! PTSD 101 COURSES

PTSD 101 is a web-based learning curriculum of 20 online courses, available on the National Center’s website (www.ncptsd.va.gov). Each course consists of a slide show in Powerpoint with accompanying audio and transcript.

Veterans and others who suffer from PTSD do not always seek treatment from mental health professionals; often they consult their primary care physicians instead. Therefore PTSD 101 is developed to provide a basic understanding of PTSD. It contains courses such as: “Physical Health Effects of Traumatic Exposure” and “PTSD and Families: Supporting Veterans, Recent Returnees, Active Duty Personnel and Their Families.”

Participants can study the materials on their own schedules, and can receive free continuing education credit for the PTSD 101 courses.
PILOTS UPDATE

With more than 32,000 records, the PILOTS Database offers access to a substantial body of research, clinical, and policy studies on PTSD and other sequelae to traumatic events. We have just published two substantial documents that will help users to search the database even more effectively.

The 4th edition of the PILOTS Database User’s Guide contains a description of the database, defining our criteria for selecting publications to be indexed. It presents suggestions for developing and modifying a search strategy, and explains in some detail the mechanics of searching the database on its new CSA Illumina platform. And it describes the data elements that occur in PILOTS Database records. But all that accounts for only one-tenth of the book.

The bulk of the User’s Guide is devoted to the PILOTS Thesaurus, the controlled vocabulary of more than 1200 descriptors that we use to characterize the subject-matter and approach of the publications that we index. A brief introduction explains the purpose of a controlled vocabulary, the structure of the PILOTS Thesaurus, and the process by which descriptors are assigned by the PILOTS Database staff. (By understanding this process, database users will be able to design a search strategy that is well suited to retrieving records.) It also explains how to use the Alphabetical Index to the Thesaurus.

The Systematic Table of PILOTS Database Descriptors presents a list of all the descriptors arranged in a format that makes clear the hierarchical relationships among terms. This provides a clear overview of our indexing vocabulary, and suggests ways of broadening or narrowing a subject search.

The Alphabetical Index to the Thesaurus should be the starting-point for most PILOTS Database searches. It lists not only the 1200 descriptors that we use in our indexing, but also several thousand “entry terms” that we use to direct users from words or phrases they might have in mind to the descriptors corresponding to those concepts.

Under each descriptor it lists broader, narrower, and related terms from the Thesaurus, as well as the entry terms for which that descriptor is used. For most descriptors a “scope note” defines the term or explains the way in which it is used in the PILOTS Database.

Three special Term Lists appear at the end of the User’s Guide. These give standardized names to specific incidents, organizations, and persons that may be the subjects of publications indexed in the database, making it possible to search for literature on a particular person, group, or disaster.

It is also possible to search the PILOTS Database for publications about the development or properties of a specific test or measurement instrument, or for papers reporting work in which a particular instrument was used. Here, too, we have standardized names. Instead of entering all of the names by which an instrument might be known, the database user can simply enter the name shown in the PILOTS Database Instruments Authority List. This is far more than just a list of nearly 5000 instruments that psychotrauma researchers and clinicians have used. For each instrument we provide a brief reference to a publication containing or describing it, and in many cases we provide a brief annotation of the test. Because we have obtained most of this information at second hand, we cannot have the confidence in its accuracy that we have in our own bibliographic work. Despite this, we feel that the Instruments Authority List will be helpful to people who are selecting instruments to use in their own work, or who wish to know what instruments have been used in a particular branch of psychotrauma research.

Both the PILOTS Database User’s Guide and the PILOTS Database Instruments Authority List are available at the National Center’s website.


National Center for PTSD (116D)
VA Medical and Regional Office Center
215 North Main Street
White River Junction, VT 05009-0001