PTSD in Service Members and New Veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan Wars: A Bibliography and Critique

Since the beginning of hostilities in Afghanistan in October 2001, more than 1.8 million US troops have served in Operation Enduring Freedom (Afghanistan; OEF) or Operation Iraqi Freedom (Iraq; OIF), with 37% having deployed at least twice. What is the mental health impact of these extensive and ongoing wars, and which Veterans are most at risk for chronic PTSD? These epidemiologic questions take time and resources to answer, and because the character of war changes over time, continued vigilance and assessment are also required.

Historically, epidemiologic studies of wars have been conducted after the end of hostilities. To an impressive degree, however, this is not the case with OEF/OIF. We provide a bibliography of studies on PTSD among OEF/OIF Veterans and observations and recommendations for future research. Because of the importance of specific study design characteristics to inferential power, we have grouped the studies by design type, and due to space limitations, do not cover other important health and mental health outcomes (for an excellent review, see Tanielian & Jaycox, 2008).

Keystone Studies

The earliest OEF/OIF studies were cross-sectional in design. Hoge et al. (2004) conducted anonymous assessments of multiple convenience samples of Army and Marine Corps combat troops one week prior to deployment and approximately four months postdeployment. Using the PTSD Checklist (PCL), Hoge et al. (2004) estimated the prevalence of probable PTSD to be 9% at predeployment, with postdeployment rates of 12% and 18% for OEF and OIF troops respectively. These investigators were the first to document the range of OEF/OIF war-zone exposures, which were higher in OIF, as well as extensive personal and structural barriers to care. Vasterling et al. (2006), also using the PCL, evaluated a smaller convenience cohort of recently redeployed soldiers and found a probable PTSD prevalence rate of 11.6%. Building on their group’s earlier work, Hoge et al. (2006) analyzed US military surveillance screening data completed by a convenience sample of Army and Marine Corps troops within 30 days of redeployment and confirmed reports of higher exposure and mental health concerns in OIF troops, as well as higher probable PTSD rates (9.8% vs. 4.7% for OEF).

Subsequent studies documented probable PTSD at time points more distal to the participants’ war-zone stress exposure. For example, using methods similar to their 2004 study, Hoge et al. (2007) found a probable PTSD rate of 16.6% in a convenience sample of soldiers one year postdeployment to OIF. Based on VA medical record data, Seal et al. (2007) found that 13% of separated service members who sought VA healthcare between 2001 and 2005 had chart diagnoses of PTSD. This finding is consistent with findings from a small study of a convenience sample of more recent Veterans (Erbes et al., 2007).

Schell and Marshall (2008) conducted a random-digit telephone survey of formerly deployed OEF/OIF active-duty and reserve/guard personnel from all service branches (>60% had deployed 18-36 months prior) at a convenience sample of sites across the country. Using the PCL, the probable PTSD prevalence was 14%. Deployment length and degree of combat exposure were associated with PTSD symptomatology.
with probable PTSD risk (the rate for participants with no exposure was 1.5%), but time since last deployment was not.

Longitudinal studies began appearing later than cross-sectional studies. Milliken et al. (2007) compared Army screening surveillance data for a large convenience sample collected in the month before redeployment with screening data collected 4-10 months postdeployment. Probable PTSD prevalence for active-duty soldiers increased from 11.8% to 16.7%, and for Reservists and National Guard members from 12.7% to 24.5%. Higher probable PTSD prevalence among Reserve/Guard members has been found in other US service branches (Schell & Marshall, 2008; Smith, Ryan, et al., 2008), and among United Kingdom (UK) troops (Browne et al., 2007; Hotopf et al., 2006), and is particularly noteworthy because approximately 40% of US OEF/OIF troops are Guard or Reserve.

Bliese et al. (2007) screened a smaller convenience cohort of redeployed soldiers within 7 days of redeployment and again 120 days later, using the PCL. The rates of probable PTSD climbed from 3% to 8% between the two assessments. Smith, Ryan, et al. (2008) reported findings for more than 50,000 service members from all branches in the Millennium Cohort Study who were assessed at enrollment and 3 years later, nearly 12,000 of whom were deployed to OIF/OEF for the first time after the baseline assessment. They found probable PTSD incidence (new onset) rates of 8.7% among deployed service members who reported combat exposure.

Some studies have included groups of troops not deployed to OEF/OIF for comparison purposes. Hotopf et al. (2006) assessed a large probability sample of initial invasion troops from the UK with diverse roles in OIF 1-2 years postdeployment and compared their PTSD rates with non-deployed troops. Using the PCL, they found relatively low and comparable rates of probable PTSD (4%). Subsets that subsequently deployed during the OIF insurgency war did not have higher rates. By contrast, PCL-based probable PTSD rates were appreciably lower among the non-deployed service members in the Smith, Ryan, et al. (2008) study (3%, vs. 8.7% for deployed personnel).

Identifying risk factors for specific outcomes is an important component of descriptive epidemiology. Rona et al. (2007) determined that UK armed forces were 1.5 times more likely to have probable PTSD if they were deployed 13 or more months in the past three years. Browne et al. (2007) found the higher rate of probable PTSD in UK reserves to be due to family problems. Smith, Wingard, et al. (2008) found that prior assault experiences substantially increased risk for PTSD in both men and women deployed to OEF/OIF. Iversen et al. (2008) estimated multivariate predictors of probable PTSD using Hotopf et al.’s (2006) data and found that childhood adversity, time in forward areas, witnessing others wounded or killed, perceived risk, role strain, and lower morale independently increased risk of probable PTSD.

**Observations and Recommendations**

The relatively rapid (compared to historical standards) accumulation of empirical information about PTSD and related outcomes has had tremendous value in alerting military commanders, service providers, policy makers, and the public to these outcomes. One of the important internal validity issues in evaluating the evidence, however, is the extent to which the assessment methods warrant definitive judgments about clinical state and service needs. Researchers should be circumspect about results based solely on brief screening assessments, particularly if participants suspect that their responses may not be confidential or that their responses may influence the speed of their out-processing. Additionally, much of the validation of combat-related PTSD screeners was conducted with Vietnam Veterans, and may not generalize to current warfighters. Similarly, because many PTSD symptoms are non-specific and overlap with other psychological problems, non-exposure-related adversity and stress may affect the severity and types of symptoms endorsed on surveys that fail to establish an index event that would contextualize and constrain symptom reporting.

Epidemiologic studies also raise questions of external validity (generalizability). The foundation of generalizability is probability sampling, but most OEF/OIF studies have used convenience samples of troops who served in specific time periods and geographic areas. As a result, the generalizability of the findings is limited.

It is also important to acknowledge that PTSD caseness information, though useful, does not index individual burden and also fails to capture patterns of deployment-related psychological problems. Different symptom clusters may have different trajectories, revealing clues about secondary prevention needs, which suggests the need also to look more closely at the symptoms in disaggregated ways. Another benefit of reporting disaggregated PTSD data is that researchers can report the prevalence of symptoms putatively linked to deployment experiences (reexperiencing and avoidance). By contrast, the emotional numbing and hyperarousal symptoms are not time- or event-linked and are likely influenced by pre-existing or comorbid problems. For example, the relatively high predeployment probable PTSD rate found in Hoge et al. (2004) might be inflated by anticipatory anxiety (hyperarousal) and conservation of emotional and relational resources (numbing) in preparation for deployment.

Similarly, it may be useful to disaggregate combat and operational experiences (i.e., war-zone exposures) into thematic categories. Candidates include: life-threat, loss, observing carnage and loss of life, morally challenging acts of omission or commission, betrayal of service and role expectations, and fatigue/exposure to the elements. These war-zone experiences are likely to lead to varying phenomenology and trajectories, requiring different approaches to care. Although several OEF/OIF studies have shown an association between combat exposure and PTSD, a more revealing analysis would be the relationship between different types of exposures and patterns of outcomes.

Readers of OEF/OIF epidemiologic studies should also be mindful that in clinical contexts, it is often safe to assume that symptom burden is evidence of clinical significance, although routine assessment of functional impairment is important in treatment planning. In the epidemiologic context, however, evaluation of functional impact is particularly important. Arguably, while deployed and in garrison, a relatively high percentage of service personnel will endorse significant PTSD symptoms even though they are functionally resilient—that is, they are fit for duty and
effective in other roles and with other responsibilities. Some may want care because they are suffering, while others may see their experience as an occupational hazard and may expect a diminution over time, especially if they get sustained respite. The tacit assumption of epidemiologic studies appears to be that all cases need care. If cases were defined as having significant PTSD symptoms and functional impairment, the validity of this assumption would be enhanced.

Our review of the existing OEF/OIF epidemiologic studies reminds us that adaptation to combat and operational experiences is an unfolding dynamic. Little is known about different trajectories of response to war stressors. Research has been mostly cross-sectional, which constrains causal inference and fails to provide useful primary and secondary prevention information. The studies that evaluated troops at two postdeployment intervals revealed an overall increase in PTSD symptom burden with the passage of time (Bliese et al., 2007; Miliken et al., 2007; see also Grieger et al., 2006). In Miliken et al., 59% of the active-duty troops who scored at least a 3 on the PTSD screener (noteworthy PTSD) were on a recovery trajectory at the six-month mark, and 41% had a pattern of endorsement that suggested a chronic trajectory. Conversely, 7% of the active-duty soldiers and Marines who did not have significant PTSD early on had probable delayed PTSD at the six-month point (and 93% had a probable enduringly resilient course – no PTSD at both time points). Because of selection, training, leadership, cohesion, and pride (etc.), the high rate of enduring resilience is not surprising. A recovery trajectory is consistent with prior research – most distressed service members recover because of natural resources and resourcefulness. A delayed trajectory, which was prominent in Grieger et al.’s (2006) longitudinal study of physically wounded soldiers, is also consistent with prior research. Documenting these trajectories and the exposure and other factors related to them should be a high priority.

Our review also suggests to us that the research to date has examined a narrow band of the potential risk and resilience predictors of the onset or course of probable PTSD. Descriptive epidemiology fails to identify the service members most in need of preventive care or the mechanisms of risk and resilience that, if known, would be targets for intervention. Cross-sectional research focused on chronic PTSD has revealed risk indicators associated with posttraumatic pathology, which provide a good starting place for OEF/OIF research (see Iversen et al., 2008).

Finally, future research should expand upon self-report surveys and evaluate behavioral, genetic, and other biological variables, as well as family experiences. Multi-systemic evaluations of the risk and resilience predictors of trajectory are enormously expensive and are especially difficult among active-duty personnel, but such studies will advance the field and expand knowledge tremendously.

Summary

Studies to date suggest that 10-18% of combat troops serving in OEF/OIF have probable PTSD following deployment, and the prevalence does not diminish over time. Consistent with a wealth of prior research, there is a robust association between the cumulative burdens of combat and operational stressors and probable PTSD. Of note, National Guard and Reservists may be especially at risk over time.

More broadly, however, although the studies to date have made valuable contributions, all are hampered by one or more common limitations described above. All of these limitations are understandable, given the uncertain and ongoing nature of OEF/OIF, limited research funding, and urgent need for empirical information about deployment-related outcomes that can inform operational decisions. The net result of the limitations, however, is that although the findings have had pragmatic value in alerting government officials and the nation to an important problem, their contribution to our understanding of combat-related PTSD and their ability to inform policy is marginal. Moving the field forward, so that more comprehensive operational, scientific, and policy advantages can be realized, will require independent studies employing longitudinal designs, probability sampling, appropriate quasi-experimental comparison groups, comprehensive clinical assessment of key outcomes, and more-fully-specified models that include comprehensive assessment of exposure and biological markers hypothesized to moderate or mediate the relationship of exposure with PTSD and related outcomes.
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NEW OEF/OIF PUBLICATIONS
From The National Center for PTSD

The National Center for PTSD has several new publications available online that could be of interest to OEF/OIF Veterans and clinicians who work with them:

*Returning from the War Zone: A Guide for Military Personnel* contains information to help military personnel understand what to expect when returning from a war zone, and to help them adapt to home life.


*Returning from the War Zone: A Guide for Families of Military Members* helps military family members understand what to expect during the reintegration period following their loved one’s time in a war zone, and helps them adapt to home life together.


These new guides now include full color photos, narratives and live links. They have also been formatted for easy printing to use as handouts. An interactive version of *Returning from the War Zone: A Guide for Families of Military Members* with video clips is also now available.

http://dev.mindandmedia.com/valnarration4/player.html

Please see as well the VA’s OEF/OIF Mental Health Care Resources pages, providing a wealth of information about VA mental health services as well as other links that should be quite helpful to returning OEF/OIF Veterans.

http://www.mentalhealth.va.gov/MENTALHEALTH/OEFOIF/index.asp
The focus of this issue brings to mind the breadth of research on OEF/OIF Veterans currently being conducted by researchers at the various divisions of NCPTSD. This research spans from basic science to intervention development, with levels of analysis ranging from neuroscience to families and communities. Although we cannot provide details about all of the dozens of studies currently listed in the Center’s database, we will highlight a few projects that seem to provide a flavor for the whole.

One aim of PTSD research is to increase understanding of risk and resilience among persons exposed to combat. The Behavioral Science Division is examining psychological, social, and biological markers of risk and resilience prospectively in a cohort of Marines (Dewleen Baker, Bill Nash, and Brett Litz); the consequences of head injuries on emotional functioning and neurocognitive performance among Army soldiers (Kevin Brailey and Jennifer Vasterling); and the effects of PTSD on anger and partner violence among OEF/OIF Veterans (Casey Taft). The Neurocognition Deployment Health Study (NDHS) is a major longitudinal study of OIF Veterans that since 2003 has been building a unique dataset encompassing pre- and post-deployment measures of mental health and performance (e.g., Vasterling and Sue Proctor). A particularly exciting development at the Behavioral Science Division has been the development of a PTSD population registry (Terry Keane); this national registry will gather data from Veterans with PTSD to allow study of the natural history of PTSD, including progression and remission, risk factors, and comorbidities. The Clinical Neurosciences Division is collaborating with the Connecticut Department of Mental Health to study risk for adjustment problems among returnees from Iraq and their families (Steve Southwick); and the Women’s Division is conducting research to validate the Deployment Risk and Resilience Inventory (Dawne Vogt).

Much of the Center’s OEF/OIF research is focused on developing and disseminating treatments for combat-related mental health problems. The Behavioral Science Division is examining the efficacy of combining D-cycloserine (a medication to enhance extinction) and exposure therapy to treat PTSD (Litz); the Clinical Neurosciences Division is examining the use of propranolol to block reconsolidation of combat memories (Deane Aikins); and the Women’s Division is testing relationship enhancement therapy (Taft and Candice Monson). Several researchers across divisions are participating in the “STRONG STAR” multidisciplinary PTSD research consortium, which includes projects on assessment (Litz and Alan Peterson, Behavioral Science Division); cognitive behavioral conjoint therapy for PTSD (Monson, Women’s Division); and individual versus group cognitive processing therapy (Patty Resick, Women’s Division). The Dissemination Division has launched a longitudinal survey predicting treatment utilization and clinical outcomes over 2 to 3 years among VA patients with PTSD (Craig Rosen).

NCPTSD is also actively engaged in developing novel modes of screening or treatment delivery. The Behavioral Science Division is developing procedures for automated telephone screening of alcohol problems and other conditions (Lisa Najavits); the Pacific Islands Division is examining whether cognitive processing therapy can be delivered effectively to Veterans in rural and remote areas via video-teleconferencing (Leslie Morland); and the Dissemination Division is comparing clinical outcomes of PTSD patients randomized either to usual aftercare or to usual care plus biweekly telephone monitoring (Rosen). The Behavioral Science Division is evaluating the use of web-based (online) interventions to enhance coping and resilience among military families (Dan King and Lynda King); to treat PTSD in primary care settings (Litz); and to treat prolonged grief (Litz). Litz’s DE-STRESS model is based on cognitive behavioral principles and makes use of “coaches” to whom participants have routine access via e-mail and phone.

Finally, the Executive Division is examining the use of community networks to help with service members’ reintegration (Laurie Slone). Building on their prior work in Vermont, these researchers aim to create a community network (in another state) that will assist military members and their families, educate the community about PTSD, decrease barriers to care, and bring together community stakeholders to address service gaps.